

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See form PCT/ISA/210
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------

Applicant's or agent's file reference 031324WO		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/EP2005/001681	International filing date (day/month/year) 18.02.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 24.02.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B65B1/08		
Applicant SIG TECHNOLOGY AG		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/001681

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/001681

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-11	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-11	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
	Claims		NO
2. Citations and explanations:			
<p>2.1 Reference is made to the following document:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">D1: SU-A1-1 381 037</p>			
<p>2.2 Document D1 is considered to be the closest prior art in relation to the subject matter of claim 1. It discloses (the references between parentheses refer to this document):</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">device (see figures) for filling liquid or pasty products having lumpy portions and pourable, free-flowing chunky bulk products with a downpipe (1), the downpipe (1) having at least one flexible side wall and means (2, 3) for mechanically pressing-in the at least one side wall of the downpipe (1) being provided, the means for mechanically pressing in the side wall of the downpipe (1) having rotatable elements (3) for deforming the downpipe cross section and the elements (4) being driven in a rotatory manner about an axis of rotation.</p>			
<p>2.2.1 The subject matter of claim 1 therefore differs</p>			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/001681

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

from the known D1 in that

the means are driven about an axis of rotation
running essentially parallel to the downpipe.

2.2.2 The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel
(PCT Article 33(2)).

2.2.3 The problem addressed by the present invention can
therefore be considered that of providing a more
compact filling device.

2.2.4 The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present
application involves an inventive step for the
following reasons (PCT Article 33(3)):

the proposed solution is neither known from the
prior art nor would it be obvious to a person
skilled in the art to apply the said features to
like effect to a device according to document D1
in order thus to arrive at a device according to
claim 1.

2.3 Claims 2 to 11 are dependent on claim 1 and thus
likewise meet the PCT requirements for novelty and
inventive step.